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Over the last several years, HealthCare Appraisers has observed an uptick in activity and investment in physical therapy clinics and centers. This article provides background information on the physical therapy industry, and provides insight into what may be driving the increased interest from healthcare providers and investors. We also discuss the outlook for the physical therapy industry, including how telehealth and recently implemented reimbursement models are likely to affect the landscape. Finally, we discuss the approaches to value and how they are applied to physical therapy business valuations.

BACKGROUND

Physical therapy involves the provision of clinical services designed to promote mobility, reduce pain, restore function and prevent disability for individuals who have suffered some type of acute physical trauma, or for individuals with diseases or disorders that limit mobility. Physical therapy is provided in a variety of settings including outpatient clinics/offices, acute care hospitals, inpatient rehabilitation facilities, long-term care facilities, athletic facilities, the workplace, and at home. Medicare payment distribution by care setting is outlined in Figure 1. Physical therapists (PTs) are licensed medical professionals that have earned a Doctor of Physical Therapy degree and passed a national exam. Under the supervision of a physical therapist, rehabilitative care can also be provided by physical therapy assistants (PTAs). Most states require PTAs to pass a national licensure examination before practicing. Physical therapy is a sub-sector of the broader outpatient rehabilitation market, which also includes occupational therapy and speech-language pathology.
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FIGURE 1:
DISTRIBUTION OF MEDICARE OUTPATIENT THERAPY SPENDING BY SETTING, 2017; SOURCE: MEDPAC

- PT PRIVATE PRACTICE: 34%
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- PHYSICIAN AND NONPHYSICIAN PRACTITIONER: 2%
Estimates of the size of the United States physical therapy market range up to $34 billion, with growth rates in the coming years projected to be in the mid-single digits. According to MedPAC, Medicare spent $8 billion on outpatient therapy services in 2017, which represents a 6 percent increase from 2016 spending. Many tailwinds support the physical therapy industry, including an aging population, earlier discharges from hospitals, a growing interest in physical activities, finding safe alternatives to opioids, and high rates of obesity. In addition, the continued shift from inpatient to outpatient care settings, use of telehealth/teletherapy, and the broader focus on controlling healthcare costs in the United States, is helping drive physical therapy patient volume. The steady growth as well as the fragmented nature of the market has led to an uptick in investor interest in the space. While there are many positive trends for the physical therapy industry, expected cuts to Medicare reimbursement will be a headwind for the industry.

### REIMBURSEMENT PRESSURES

Physical therapists commonly perform procedures in the 97000 CPT Code range of the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule, which is where physical medicine and rehabilitation CPT Codes are located. Some of these CPT Codes are time-based, typically billed in 15-minute increments, and others are service-based, billed once when the service is provided. Many physical therapy clinics contract with private payors on a per visit basis, with set reimbursement rates per visit.

In the last few years, Medicare reimbursement for outpatient physical therapy providers has increased slightly, with a 0.5 percent increase in 2018 and a 0.25 percent increase in 2019. Although not specifically aimed at physical therapy, the 2020 final rule will reduce physical therapy reimbursement rates by an estimated 8 percent starting in 2021, as a result of implementing the RUC-recommended work values for the office/outpatient E&M CPT Codes. Furthermore, the final rule would require a PTA modifier to be placed on certain claims starting January 1, 2020, and, beginning January 1, 2022, Medicare will reimburse outpatient therapy services performed by PTAs at 85 percent of the otherwise applicable payment amount. This will have a dramatic impact on the physical therapy industry and will likely put financial pressure on many providers, including those in rural and underserved areas.

Physical therapy reimbursement will also see a change with the Medicare Merit-Based Incentive Payment Plan (MIPS) introduced in 2019. MIPS assesses a provider’s services based on Quality, Improvement Activities, Promoting Interoperability and Cost. This is especially important as more than a third of the physical therapy industry’s revenue comes from government payers. Some physical therapists in private practice are required to participate in MIPS, while others are able to do so by choice. Participation is dictated based on how many of the “low-volume” thresholds are exceeded, a depiction of which is shown in Figure 3.
Figure 4 outlines the MIPS payment adjustments for the 2020 performance year, which will impact Medicare payments in 2021. It is expected that more physical therapy clinics will participate in MIPS in order to offset the expected reimbursement cuts in coming years. With the continued reimbursement pressures and potential restrictions placed on their services by third-party payors, the cost of collecting payment from third-party payors, and the difficulties in negotiating rates with insurance companies, some physical therapists are choosing an out-of-network, or cash-only model for their practices.

With the recent developments of COVID-19, CMS is providing relief to providers who participate in MIPS by granting a deadline extension for 2019 data submission. Furthermore, MIPS eligible clinicians who have not submitted any MIPS data by April 30, 2020, will qualify for the automatic extreme and uncontrollable circumstances policy and will receive a neutral payment adjustment for the 2021 MIPS payment year. CMS is still evaluating options for providing relief around participation and data submission for 2020.

---


6 Participants who score 85 points or more will also be eligible to receive an exceptional performance bonus, which will be, at minimum, an additional +0.5 percent adjustment.
Due to COVID-19, there has been a significant increase in the use of telehealth in order to limit in-person patient and provider contact. Though physical therapy services delivered through telehealth are not payable under the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule, CMS broadened access to Medicare telehealth services under the 1135 waiver which will allow physical therapists to bill for online assessment and management services using CPT Codes G2061, G2062, and G2063 (i.e., e-visits). In order for PT services to qualify as e-visits, the billing clinic must have an established relationship with the patient, the patient must initiate the inquiry for an e-visit and verbally consent to check-in services, and the communications must be limited to a seven-day period through an “online patient portal.” The use of telehealth in the physical therapy industry is expected to grow as commercial payors have begun providing reimbursement for these services.

**OUTPATIENT THERAPY CAPS**

Effective January 1, 2018, the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 permanently repealed the payment caps on annual per beneficiary spending for physical therapy services. The caps were previously put in place to help constrain excess spending and use. Going forward, providers will still have to certify that services are medically necessary when the annual per beneficiary spending reaches $2,080 for 2020.

In 2018, the OIG reviewed 300 claims in a stratified random sample and found that 61 percent of Medicare claims did not comply with Medicare medical necessity requirements, and estimated that Medicare could have saved $367 million on services provided by outpatient physical therapists from July 1 through December 31, 2013. It is expected that CMS will attempt to better monitor the appropriateness and necessity of outpatient physical therapy claims going forward.

**OUTLOOK**

Despite negative reimbursement trends, there are several tailwinds for the physical therapy industry, including an aging population, earlier discharges from hospitals, finding safe alternatives to opioids, and high rates of obesity.

- According to the Urban Institute, the number of Americans aged 65 and older is expected to double over the next 40 years, reaching 80 million. As people age, they tend to lose flexibility, strength, and, often, their balance. As a result, falls are a common reason seniors require physical therapy. Furthermore, physical therapy has been used for patients with a variety of conditions such as arthritis, Parkinson’s, and Alzheimer’s.

- According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the number of Americans with obesity has increased over the past decade reaching 42.4 percent of the population in 2017-2018. Obesity can contribute to joint pain due to increased stress that excess body weight puts on joints, muscles, and spinal discs. Physical therapists are often able to help design programs to reduce pain, improve cardiovascular fitness, strength, movement, and flexibility.

- According to an article published by the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS), approximately 50 percent of Americans are affected by a musculoskeletal condition. As the country battles the opioid crisis, patients may turn to physical therapy. Studies have demonstrated a link between early use of physical therapy and improved patient-reported outcomes, as well as reduced healthcare costs, and opioid use.

---


8 PT in Motion, “CMS Moves to Allow Digital Communications by PTs”, last accessed on March 26, 2020 from: http://www.apta.org/PTinMotion/News/2020/03/17/E-VisitsCMSCoronavirus/


- Physical therapists have demonstrated the ability to make accurate and appropriate discharge recommendations for patients who are acutely ill. Multiple studies have shown that re-admissions are less likely when a physical therapist participates on an interdisciplinary team discharging a patient from an acute care setting.

All of these trends have led to a strong job outlook for PTs and PTAs. Employment for PTs and PTAs are expected to increase by 22 percent and 27 percent, respectively, between 2018 and 2028. Currently, the supply of physical therapists in each state ranges from 48 to 121 PTs per 100,000 people with a median of 76 PTs, as further outlined in Figure 5.

**FIGURE 5: PHYSICAL THERAPISTS PER 100,000 PERSONS**

There is a higher concentration of physical therapists in the New England region as well as the Mountain West region. We believe this to be caused by various population demographic factors (e.g., slightly older population in the New England region, and more active population in the Mountain West region) as well as the level of “direct access” to physical therapy services, or the removal of the physician referral mandated by state law to access physical therapy services for evaluation and treatment.

---

Generally, the level of direct access falls under three categories (see each state’s categorization in Figure 6).

- **Unrestricted patient access** – No restrictions or limitations whatsoever for treatment absent a physician referral.
- **Patient access with provisions** – Access to evaluation and treatment with some provisions such as a time or visit limit, or physical referral requirements for a specific treatment intervention such as needle electromyography or spinal manipulation.
- **Limited patient access** – Access to evaluation, fitness and wellness, and limited treatment only to certain patient populations or under certain circumstances.

**FIGURE 6: PHYSICAL THERAPY PATIENT ACCESS**

Studies have suggested that direct access to physical therapists is associated with lower costs and fewer patient visits. Historically, physicians have been a major source of patient referrals for physical therapy providers, with a survey from APTA indicating that only 50 percent of respondents reported some use of direct access. States with unrestricted direct access experience a higher use of direct access at 65.5 percent.\(^a\)

**COMPETITIVE LANDSCAPE**

Physical therapy practices have many types of ownership structures, including private practice (i.e., owned by one or more therapist), private equity sponsored, hospital-owned, joint-venture, and publicly traded. Currently, there are over 18,000 physical therapy clinics in the United States.\(^b\) While the market remains highly fragmented, with the largest operator controlling less than 10 percent of the market, there has been recent consolidation activity both among private equity sponsored platform companies and publicly traded companies that either specialize in outpatient physical therapy (e.g., U.S. Physical

---

\(a\) APTA Report: Use of Direct Access Among PTs is Widespread, but Barriers Need to be Addressed, last accessed on March 26, 2020 from: https://www.apta.org/PTinMotion/News/2017/10/20/DirectAccessSurveyReport/

\(b\) U.S. Physical Therapy, Inc., Investor Presentation, as of March 4, 2020: https://www.usph.com/investor-relations/presentations/
Ibid; Based on communication with Livingstone Partners, the higher valuation multiples observed in 2016 were a result of the nation’s largest physical therapy platforms being transacted. Subsequently, there were no platform deals in 2017 and the majority of platform deals in 2018 and 2019 were smaller, more risky transactions, which resulted in lower valuation multiples.

Three of the five largest physical therapy providers are sponsored by private equity groups, and there are at least 15 private equity sponsored physical therapy platform organizations acquiring and opening de novo practices in the United States.14 This increase in buying activity has resulted in an increase in valuation multiples for platform acquisitions from 7.6x EBITDA in the late 2000s to nearly 12.0x EBITDA in 2016 (see Figure 9).15

---

15 Ibid; Based on communication with Livingstone Partners, the higher valuation multiples observed in 2016 were a result of the nation’s largest physical therapy platforms being transacted. Subsequently, there were no platform deals in 2017 and the majority of platform deals in 2018 and 2019 were smaller, more risky transactions, which resulted in lower valuation multiples.
VALUATION

The three most common valuation approaches – the Income, Market, and Cost Approaches – can all be applied when valuing a physical therapy practice.

The Income Approach values businesses, interests in businesses, or assets by discounting the future economic benefits to present value using a risk-adjusted rate of return. In projecting out the future earnings for a business, it is important to understand how CMS’s final rule will impact revenue for the subject business. A practice that leverages more PTAs will see a larger impact to revenue than practices that do not utilize PTAs. Furthermore, it is important to account for a practice’s payor mix when projecting future changes in reimbursement as a cash-only practice will be much more protected from cuts in Medicare reimbursement.

The Market Approach provides an indication of value by drawing reference to prices paid in transactions involving similar companies (e.g., Comparative Transaction Method), or for shares in publicly-traded companies that provide similar services (i.e., Guideline Public Company Method) as the subject entity being valued. There have been an increasing number of transactions in the physical therapy sector in recent years that valuators can analyze, and these transactions provide context and an indication of the market multiples paid for transactions in the space.

Figures 10 and 11 present the total invested capital (TIC)-to-EBITDA multiples for physical therapy transactions from 2010 through 2019, according to DealStats, as well as the number of outpatient physical therapy transactions each year, according to Irving Levin Associates. As outlined in Figure 12, valuation multiples have generally been increasing as observed in recent acquisitions made by USPH over the last 10 years, due to many of the factors previously mentioned. USPH has generally completed one to five transactions each year over the last decade.

**FIGURE 10: DEAL STATS 2010-2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERCENTILE</th>
<th>TIC-EBITDA MULTIPLE*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25TH PERCENTILE</td>
<td>2.2x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIAN</td>
<td>3.4x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVERAGE</td>
<td>5.0x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75TH PERCENTILE</td>
<td>6.5x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 11: ANNOUNCED TRANSACTIONS: IRVING LEVIN ASSOCIATES**

---

* We have excluded outliers in calculating valuation multiples at each percentile.
In applying the Guideline Public Company Method under the market approach, there are two publicly-traded companies that provide outpatient physical therapy services that can be referenced, USPH and SEM. It is important to consider that SEM provides services in addition to outpatient physical therapy (e.g., long-term acute care and inpatient rehabilitation hospitals), so it may not be perfectly comparable to a subject entity being valued. In addition, the public companies benefit from economies of scale and geographic diversity that make them less risky investments than a physical therapy clinic focused on one geographic area. It is important for a valuator to consider the specific facts and circumstances surrounding the entity being valued and make adjustments to the multiples before applying them to a subject entity’s EBITDA.

Figure 13 outlines the historical growth in trading multiples of USPH and SEM as well as the subsequent decline due to the COVID-19 outbreak. Consensus growth rates for USPH and SEM are presented in Figure 14, and incorporate downward revisions made to the estimates in light of the disruptions from COVID-19 as patients may forego physical therapy visits to prevent the spread of the virus. As outlined in Figures 13 through 16, Wall Street’s reaction to COVID-19 can be seen starting in February 2020.
The final approach to consider when valuing a physical therapy practice is the Cost Approach, which provides an indication of value based on the cost to recreate the business or asset at today’s prices, less economic obsolescence. Given the nature of physical therapy practices, most businesses in the space do not have significant amounts of fixed assets, which are generally limited to equipment such as office equipment, exercise and fitness equipment, and treatment tables. However, there are a number of intangible assets that can be valued under the cost approach that may be appropriate for valuators to consider. One example is that businesses incur expenses associated with recruiting and hiring the workforce necessary to provide services. The existence of a turnkey operation represents an intangible asset for the buyer of a physical therapy practice. Additionally, a business’s trade name is a marketing-related intangible asset that derives its value from the benefits of brand recognition. Depending on the reputation of the business’s brand, it may have more leverage with payors or attract patients from a broader service area.

\[\text{FIGURE 14: CONSENSUS GROWTH RATES FOR USPH AND SEM}\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUE(^{17})</th>
<th>2020E</th>
<th>2021E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USPH</td>
<td>-5.9%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EBITDA(^{18})</th>
<th>2020E</th>
<th>2021E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USPH</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\text{FIGURE 15: USPH FY2020 AVERAGE CONSENSUS}\]

\[\text{FIGURE 16: SEM FY2020 AVERAGE CONSENSUS}\]

\(^{17}\) S&P Capital IQ; As of April 1, 2020

\(^{18}\) ibid
CONCLUSION

Merger and acquisition activity is expected to continue as the physical therapy industry remains highly fragmented. While there is uncertainty due to changes in reimbursement, demographic trends indicate a sustained growth in patients who will seek physical therapy services, which is supported by robust consensus growth estimates for both USPH and SEM. With pressures to lower patient costs, insurers are also looking for high quality, low cost outpatient providers that may help prevent short-term disabilities from becoming chronic conditions or help to speed up recovery from surgery and musculoskeletal injuries. HealthCare Appraisers carefully watches new developments within the physical therapy industry and has the skills and experience required to render a valuation opinion for physical therapy practices in this ever-changing regulatory environment.